

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/082,493 02/22/2002		Stephane Kasriel	D33-024-01-US	3724			
54092	7590	08/25/2006		EXAMINER			
NORTH OA 45 ISLAND I		TENT AGENCY	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI				
NORTH OAL		55127	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				2157			

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/082,493		KASRIEL, STEPHANE				
			Examiner	/	Art Unit				
			Salad E. Abdullahi		2157				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the co	rrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum streeto reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMN (a). In no event, however, r apply and will expire SIX (6 ause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timel B) MONTHS from the ome ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Au</i>	just 2006.						
•	•		ction is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	e except for formal	matters, pros	ecution as to the	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requiremen	nt.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accep	oted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dr	awing(s) be held in al	beyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 0	see the attached detailed Office actio								
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	and continued copies		•				
Attachmen	(IC)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Inter	view Summary (P	PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date)`.	0.450)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4&8/17</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	·	ce of Informal Pati er:	ent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/4/2006 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-40 have been fully considered but are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fascenda U.S. Patent No. 6,466,937[hereinafter Fascenda] in view of Tobias U.S Patent No. 6,873,877[hereinafter Tobias].

As per claim 1, Fascenda discloses a method for responding to a request from a client for a web page including steps of:

forwarding from a request server a request to template server (see fig. 3, col. 7, line 58 to col. 8, line 9 and col. 15, line 65 to col. 16, line 20);

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493

711/0011(1011(d)11501: 10/002; 10

Art Unit: 2157

building, at the template server that is logically separate from the request server a template information for the web page(see fig. 3, and col. 10, line 62 to col. 11, line 4); computing delta information (i.e., changes to client templates) for a web page based on the template based on the template information (see fig. 3, col. 7, line 58 to col. 8, line 9 and col. 15, line 65 to col. 16, line 20); and sending to the said client the delta information (i.e., changes to client templates) for the web page and reference a to the said template information (see col. 11, lines 7-21 and col. 13, lines 56-65).

Fascenda is silent regarding: the delta encoder is separate from the request server. Nonetheless utilizing delta encoder separate from the server would have been an obvious modification Fascenda's system as evidenced by Kirsch. Kirsch in (FIG. 1 illustrates another possible configuration in which the encoders are separate from the server request (see fig. 1 and paragraph col. 7, line 46 to col. 8, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Tobias into the system of Fascenda such that delta encoders can located closer to end users and therefore are able to deliver content to those end users much faster than the content servers. In addition, distribution unit 108 can perform load balancing on the encoding units (116, 118, 120) to achieve optimal performance from a plurality of different encoders.

As per claim 2-3, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, including steps of compressing said delta information (see col. 18, lines 44-49).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 4, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, including steps of:

Sending statistical information to the template server relating to the benefits of delta caching service of the delta encoder (see col. 11, lines 7-21 and col. 13, lines 56-65 and col. 11, lines 7-21 and col. 13, lines 56-65)

As per claim 5 and 6, Tobias discloses a as claimed in claim 1, including the steps of distributing said request from said client to a selected one of a set of delta encoders (see fig .1 and paragraph col. 7, line 46 to col. 8, line 10).

providing a web object at said server in response to said request(see figs 1a, 1b and 16 and paragraph 0051-0051 and 0105-0107); and

computing said delta information at said selected one delta encoder in response to said template information, wherein said template information is substantially independent of an identity of said selected one delta encoder (see fig .1 and paragraph col. 7, line 46 to col. 8, line 10).

As per claim 7-8, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, including steps of receiving, from said client, a request for said template information (col. 11, lines 7-21); and sending, to said client, said template information(col. 11, lines 7-21).

As per claim 9-11, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said delta information includes one program fragment. wherein said delta information includes at

least one program fragment directing said client to retrieve template information(see fig. 6b and col. 13, lines 56-65).

As per claim 12, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said object is accessible to more than one said server (inherent)(see also fig. 3); and said template information is accessible to said more than one said server (inherent) (see fig. 3 and col. 10, lines 34-61).

As per claim 13, Fascenda discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said steps of building are responsive to at least one change in said object (see col. 11, lines 11-21).

As per claims 14-40, the claims include limitations analogous to claims 1-13, thus claims 14-40 are rejected same rational as claims 1-13.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,493 Page 6

Art Unit: 2157

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdullahi Salad 8/19/2006

